

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.02.11 19:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP No.2568 of 2013
Date of decision:10.02.2015

Karan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.S.K.Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since he has not been informed by the learned counsel for Union of India about circulation of written request for adjournment, he opposes the same and wishes to argue the matter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, submits that petitioner will be satisfied in case present writ petition is disposed of in terms of order dated 4.6.2010 passed by **Delhi High Court in W.P.(C) No. 5135 of 2003 (Nek Mohammad v. Union of India and others)**, vide Annexure P-7.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the instant writ petition is disposed of, in terms of order dated 4.6.2010 passed by Delhi High Court in **Nek Mohammad's** case (supra). The respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioner. However, it is made clear that the respondent authorities would be at liberty to pass an appropriate order, strictly in accordance with law, if the claim of the petitioner is, as a matter of fact, found to be squarely covered by the order dated dated 4.6.2010 passed by Delhi High Court in **Nek Mohammad's** case (supra).

Disposed of, accordingly.

10.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE