

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM Nos. 6250-CII and 6293-CII of 2015 in/and
FAO No. 2327 of 2010 (O/M)
Date of decision : 26.3.2015

Ram Kumar and others

..... Appellants

Versus

MGF Developments Limited and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Atam Parkash Setia, Advocate,
for the applicant-respondent No. 1.

Ms. Avnika Gupta, Advocate, for,
Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the applicant-respondent No. 2.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Respondents No. 1 and 2 have filed separate applications being CM Nos. 6250-CII and 6293-CII of 2015 with the prayer that the matter has been compromised between the parties and in view of the said compromise, the order dated 21.2.2009, passed by the learned District Judge, Gurgaon, under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, may be set aside.

CM Nos. 6250-CII and 6293-CII of 2015 in/and
FAO No. 2327 of 2010 (O/M)

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2015.03.27 15:16
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

In view the compromise between the parties, the impugned order dated 21.2.2009, passed by the learned District Judge, Gurgaon, under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, is set aside.

The main appeal as well as CM Nos. 6250-CII and 6293-CII of 2015 accordingly stand allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

26.3.2015
sjks