

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SANJEEV KUMAR
2015.01.15 12:38

CWP No.23001 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision.12.01.2015

Smt. Nirmal widow of Sh. Om Parkash

.....Petitioner

Versus

UHBVNL and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no ground for a challenge before this Court for a notice of theft issued to the petitioner. The petitioner is entitled under the relevant Regulation 37.2(c) to make a representation within 15 days of the assessment before the authority and the designated authority after giving the consumer an opportunity of being heard, pass a final order within 7 days of such a representation. The representation is reported to have been made on 04.10.2014. If no order is passed, the petitioner may join issues with the respondent and elicit a final order to be passed in the manner contemplated under Regulation 37.2.

2. With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

January 12, 2015
Pankaj*