

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 25480 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: January 19, 2015

Amarjeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd.
and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. PS Poonia, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 to 3 and 6.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The counsel for the petitioner says that the property where the tubewell connection has to be given has since been entered exclusively in the name of the petitioner in the khasra girdwari and no objection from others arises. The petitioner is entitled to make a representation furnishing details and if such a representation with details of proof of his ownership over the land are furnished, the respondents will take appropriate decision and favour the petitioner with electricity, if there are no other hindrances. The whole exercise is to be undertaken within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

2. The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

January 19, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE