

CWP No. 25475 of 2013(O&M)

Date of decision: 22.07.2015

Sunena Garg and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain**Present:** Mr. Arun Kumar Bakshi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.S.Manhas, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

Mr. Sachin Bhanot, Legal Assistant, DPI College

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are working on permanent basis as Associate/Assistant Professors on the Punjab Government aided posts, under 95% grant-in-aid Scheme, in the S.M.D.R.S.D. College, Pathankot. They approached this Court with two prayers that the college may be directed to release the arrears of salary from December, 2012 along with interest and also continue to release the salary by the 10th of each month.

Counsel for the petitioners does not want to press the first prayer because the arrears upto date have already been paid to the petitioners.

At this stage, counsel for respondent Nos. 4 and 5 has submitted that a direction may also be issued to respondent No. 1 who had to re-compensate them to the extent of 95% of grant-in-aid.

On the last date of hearing, learned State counsel had stated at

bar that the Government is ready and willing to pay 95% grant-in-aid to the college provided they first pay the arrears of the salary to the teachers/petitioners. Since the arrears have already been paid by the college by raising a loan from the Bank, respondent No. 1 is directed to make the payment to the college of grant-in-aid as claimed by them, which is admitted upto March, 2015, in accordance with law, within a period of 15 days.

In so far as the second prayer is concerned, counsel for respondents No. 4 and 5 has undertaken before this Court that the salary of the petitioners shall be released by 10th of every month.

Hence, direction is issued to respondent Nos. 4 and 5 to pay salary to their teachers by 10th of every month and in turn, respondent No. 1 shall also pay the amount of grant-in-aid to respondent Nos. 4 and 5 regularly.

In view of the statements made above, the present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge

22nd July, 2015
Shivani Kaushik