

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 22197 of 2015
Date of Decision: October 15, 2015

Ruldu Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

XEN-cum-Divisional Canal Officer, Canal Division, Bathinda.

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to decide expeditiously the statutory appeal pending before him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that appeal filed by the petitioner and other is pending before the respondent – Divisional Canal Officer, Canal Division, Bathinda for the last two years. In this case, service is already complete. But, no decision is being taken and even no date is being fixed.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. S.S. Chandumajra,

Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusing the paper-book, however, without commenting on merits of the case, instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to decide the appeal (Annexure P/2) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and party shall be informed about the date in writing by the concerned appellate authority.

October 15, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge