

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 22824 of 2014
Date of Decision: May 8, 2015

Balwant Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (Oral)

The claim of the petitioner is that the respondents vide order Annexure P-3 changed the date of regularization of the petitioner from 2008 to 24.10.2009 and accordingly the second ACP has been denied.

Mr. Rahul Vats, learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the similarly situated persons had also challenged the change of date of regularization vide CWP No. 23228 of 2011 and this Court vide order dated 5.9.2012 granted the relief to such persons. Copy of the order has been handed over to the Court. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag it at the end of the paper book.

I have gone through the order passed by this Court

on 5.9.2012 which has been passed on the basis of the reply filed by the State wherein order dated 24.10.2009 (Annexure P-3) has been withdrawn.

I do not deem it appropriate to issue notice to the State in view of the fact that the same order has been passed in case of the petitioner along with other petitioners which has already been disposed of.

The impugned order in the present case, in view of order dated 5.9.2012 passed in CWP No. 23228 of 2011 is quashed. The writ petition is accordingly allowed.

However, a direction is issued to the respondents to finalize the claim of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Consequential benefits, if any, be released to the petitioner within a further period of one month.

Liberty is granted to the State to move an appropriate application, if the averments made in the petition as noticed in the order are found to be incorrect.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

May 8, 2015
archana