

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

Civil Writ Petition No.25319 of 2013

Date of decision: 16.07.2015

Smt. Vijay Nakra @ Vijay Grover

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of stand taken by respondents No.1 to 3 in para No.14 of the preliminary submissions as well as para No.15 of the reply on merits, the necessary relief has been accepted to be granted in principle. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the directions are issued to the respondents to release the benefits.

In view of stand taken in the written statement as well as keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to release the necessary benefits to the petitioner as mentioned in the written statement within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

July 16, 2015

sonia g.