

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8796-2011 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.03.2015

Krishan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition is for quashing impugned order dated 16.07.2010 (Annexure P-9), passed by respondent No.3, whereby, the representation of the petitioner was rejected.

At the outset, the learned State counsel states that the impugned order was passed due to some misinterpretation of the statutory provisions. However, subsequently, in terms of clarification sought by the Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana from Government of India, now the incremental benefit in question has already been allowed vide order dated 01.08.2013 (Annexure R-1). Thus, the grievance of the petitioner already stands addressed.

In this view of the matter, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

23.03.2015
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

ATUL SETHI
2015.03.24 10:09
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh