

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4727 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 15.09.2015.

Daler Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. P.C. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.

1.) In this petition, the petitioner has prayed for a direction to release retiral benefits etc. It is undisputed that petitioner is facing disciplinary proceedings as on this day. Inquiry was initiated and the same was ended in imposing penalty. Feeling aggrieved by the order of penalty, petitioner preferred an appeal. It seems that the Appellate Authority has remanded the matter for *de novo* inquiry. Accordingly, in the year 2014, disciplinary proceedings have commenced and the same is yet to be concluded. In the meanwhile, the petitioner has been denied retiral benefits.

2.) In view of these facts and circumstances, the

respondents are directed to complete disciplinary proceedings by passing a final order within a period of eight weeks failing which the petitioner is entitled to retiral benefits which are to be released in accordance with law. Further it is ordered that if the petitioner is exonerated in the disciplinary proceedings, he is entitled to interest @ 9% on all retiral benefits.

3.) Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

September 15, 2015.

sandeep sethi