

Sr.No.223

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH

CWP-8689-2011(O&M)

Decided on: 09.10.2015

Shamsher Singh and othersPetitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Grover

Present: Mr. R.K.Malik, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.G.S.Wasu, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

**

Mahesh Grover, J

The grievance of the petitioners is that they being seniors are being admitted to lesser pay scale than the ones admissible to their juniors. A further contention has been raised that this controversy has already been resolved by this Court in CWP-11254-2010 **Neelam Rani v. The State of Haryana and others**, decided on 15.05.2013.

The Court has perused the tabulation produced by the petitioner and finds that the pay admissible to JBT teachers appointed prior to 2006 are certainly lower than the ones admissible to those appointed subsequent to the year 2006. Annexures P2 and P3 bear this out which has also not been controverted by the respondents. This would be totally contrary to the settled propositions of law. Consequently, I am of the opinion that the petitioners would be entitled to step up of their pay at par with ones admissible to their juniors.

I also find that the matter is squarely covered by the ratio of the decisions rendered in **Neelam Rani's case (supra)** and another decision in CWP-8885-2011 **Harpal Singh and others v. State of Haryana**, decided on 28.01.2015.

The writ petition is, therefore, disposed of in terms of **Neelam Rani's case (supra)**.

09.10.2015

(MAHESH GROVER)

JUDGE