

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21890 of 2015

Date of Decision:13/10/2015

Diwan Singh Hooda and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sudhir Hooda, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 11.07.2015 (Annexure P-7) issued on behalf of the petitioners.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take decision on the legal notice dated 11.07.2015 (Annexures P-7) issued on behalf of the petitioners by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

13.10.2015
rajeev