

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 25111 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision : March 17, 2015

Anita Devi,

..... Petitioner

v.

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. RS Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. PS Poonia, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. **Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

By this writ petition, the petitioner had sought retiral dues of her deceased husband. Counsel for the petitioner has admitted that during the pendency of this writ petition, major part of the relief has been granted to the petitioner. He, however, states that there are some other benefits which are legally due to the petitioner and at this stage he would not press for that relief but liberty may be granted to the petitioner to make a representation to the respondents therefor and a direction be issued to the respondents to decide that representation within a reasonable time.

Counsel for the respondents has very fairly accepted this

statement.

In the circumstances, this writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation for any of her residual claims. In case, such a representation is moved within one month, the respondents shall decide the same in accordance with law within two months thereafter, and in case the petitioner is found entitled to any relief, grant the same to her within a further period of one month. It is clarified that in case any due relief is not granted to the petitioner within the said period, she shall be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 8% from the date/s the amount/s fell due till the date of actual payment.

March 17, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE