

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**F.A.O. No.655 of 2007 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09 July, 2015**

Mehardin

...Appellant

Versus

Vimlesh Kumar Yadav & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr.Vinod Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J.(Oral)

CM-3112-CII-2007

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the contents of the application which is duly supported by an affidavit, the application for condonation of delay of 165 days in filing the appeal is allowed.

Civil Misc.Application disposed of.

FAO-655-2007

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that in addition to the amount awarded by the learned Tribunal, if respondent No.3-Insurance Company is ready to pay ₹20,000/-

(Rupees Twenty thousand) more, then the appellant/ claimant shall be satisfied.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3-Insurance Company of the offending vehicle has accepted the proposal put up by learned counsel for the appellant.

Learned counsel for the parties submit that in view of the above submissions suffered by them, the present appeal be disposed of.

Taking into consideration the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, the present appeal is disposed of with a direction that respondent No.3/insurance company, in addition to the award passed by learned Tribunal, shall pay ₹ 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty thousand) more by way of a cheque within two months of passing of this order, to be deposited with Learned Tribunal, for payment to the appellant/ claimant.

July 09, 2015
seema

(Naresh Kumar Sanghi)
Judge