

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

227

**Civil Writ Petition No.24979 of 2013
Date of decision: 17.07.2015**

Neelam Kaur

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Surinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. R.L. Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for
Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Advocate for respondent No.3.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that necessary relief has been granted to the petitioner whereas learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only an amount of ₹2 lacs has been paid and the remaining benefits have not been released so far. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 further assured that necessary payment will be made within a period of two weeks from today.

In view of assurance given by Mr. R.L.Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the

respondents to release the remaining payment within a period of two weeks from today, failing which respondents No.2 and 3 will have to pay ₹50,000/- as costs to the petitioner.

Disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

July 17, 2015
sonia g.