

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22440 of 2014
Date of decision: 21.07.2015

Manjit Singh and others
... Petitioners
Versus
Principal Secretary Government of Punjab and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Surmukh Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

- 1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

On November 4, 2014, this court had passed the following order:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners refer to the qualification prescribed for Masters of Physical Education which is extracted herebelow :-

APPENDIX 'B'
(See rule 5)

Sr. No.	Designation of posts	Minimum qualifications & teaching experience	Method of recruitment	
			Direct appointment	Promotion
1	2	3	4	5

1 to 3(v).....				

(vi)	Physical Training Master or Mistresses (DPE)	Graduate with training in advanced physical training Course Degree or Diploma		

This would indicate that the petitioners have to be graduates with training in advanced physical training Course Degree or Diploma. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have studied Physical Education as a subject in their graduation

course and therefore this should be sufficient to make them eligible for promotion. The eligibility condition as extracted above would, however, indicate that graduate is just one of the degrees which has to be coupled with other qualifications indicated therein. Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for time to show more material that the qualification possessed by the petitioners can be construed to be equivalent to the one prescribed and noticed above.

Adjourned to 14.1.2015.”

Thereafter, the matter was adjourned twice so as to enable the petitioner to place on record the requisite material in terms of the order dated 04.11.2014.

Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly states that he has not been able to lay his hands upon any substantial material in support of his claim. And, prays that he be permitted to withdraw the petition with liberty to file afresh with better particulars and details.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

July 21, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge