

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 24919 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.07.2015

Karam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate for
Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

The learned State counsel informs that all the retiral benefits have been released to the petitioner. Even, the affidavit dated 18.03.2015 was also filed in this regard.

Keeping in view the above statement, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

However, from the reply it emerges that no interest on the delayed payment has been paid so far.

In the circumstances, the petitioner is free to move a comprehensive representation to the competent authority within one

month from today with regard to interest on the delayed payment. In case, such a representation is moved, then the competent authority shall consider and decide the same in view of the ratio of law laid down in **The Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary to Govt. of Haryana, Irrigation Department, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh** Vs. **Hasan Singh Kanwar**, 2010(1) SLR 788 and **A.J. Randhawa** Vs. **State of Punjab**, 1998(1) SCT 343, within a period of eight weeks after the receipt of such a representation.

However, at any stage, the learned counsel for the petitioner disputes the veracity of the statement made by the learned State counsel, then the petitioner is at liberty to revive the present petition.

28.07.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE