

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

234

Civil Writ Petition No.22265 of 2014

Date of decision: 15.05.2015

Darshan Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. S.S. Taank, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P.S. Thethi, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate for respondent No.4.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.4 has filed written statement in the Court today and the same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.4, on the basis of written statement, submits that the necessary payment has been released to the petitioner where as learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the payment has been released after six months from the date of retirement and the petitioner is also entitled for interest on the delayed amount.

Admittedly, there is a delay in releasing the payment and the petitioner is entitled for payment of interest. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to release the interest @ 8% p.a. on the delayed payment after expiry of three months from the date of retirement till the payment has been made as expeditiously as possible.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

May 15, 2015