

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.21525 of 2015
Dated of Decision: 08.10.2015**

Virender Kumar

-----Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

-----Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: Mr. Arvind Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents have violated the instructions for dealing with the cases of theft of electricity under the Electricity Act, 2003. Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to three instances of violation, which are as under:

- (i) Videography was not conducted at the time of inspection of the meter in the premise of the petitioner.
- (ii) The meter was not sealed in cardboard box as required in terms of Clause 12(B)(a) of the sales circular No. U-54/2007 (Annexure P-1) and the signatures of the petitioner were not obtained.
- (iii) two days clear notice, which is required to be issued under 12(B) of the said circular was not issued.

The petitioner has made representation dated 21.09.2015 (Annexure P-3) pointing out the aforesaid breach of procedure.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to take a decision on his representation dated 21.09.2015 (Annexure P-3)

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner as contained in the representation dated 21.09.2015 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously. It is expected that the said grievance would be looked into before the meter is tested.

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

08.10.2015
Atul