

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.228

CWP No.22183 of 2014

Date of decision: 18.08.2015

Krishan Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Ravi Kant Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of the order dated 14.06.2012 (Annexure P-4) through which the offer of appointing him, as a Driver (Heavy Transport Vehicle) has been cancelled. The petitioner further seeks a direction to the respondents to appoint him as a Driver (Heavy Transport Vehicle).

The facts in brief, on perusal of the record and after hearing learned counsel for the parties at the bar, are that the petitioner's application for seeking appointment, as a Driver (Heavy Transport Vehicle) was favourably considered by the respondents. Resultantly, vide order dated 10.02.2012 he was offered appointment. However, when his driving license was got verified by the respondent-authorities,

the same was found to be fake and therefore, vide order dated 14.06.2012 (Annexure P-4) , the offer of appointment made to him was cancelled.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that due to an inadvertent mistake, incorrect number was recorded in the original driving license of the petitioner by the Licensing Authority, Sambalpur, Odisha, the authority which had issued the petitioner's driving license. After the petitioner pointed out this inadvertent mistake to the Licensing Authority, Sambalpur, they have corrected the same. In fact, the respondent-authorities, on the representation made by the petitioner, had written to the Licensing Authority, Sambalpur, for re-verification of the driving license of the petitioner. On such query made by them, the Regional Transport Authority, Sambalpur, Odisha, through its letter dated 31.12.2012 (Annexure R-2) have acknowledged that the driving license of the petitioner is genuine.

Learned counsel for the State of Haryana acknowledges the above facts.

Once on reverification made by the respondent-authorities themselves, the driving license of the petitioner has been found to be genuine, the instant writ petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 14.06.2012 (Annexure P-4) is quashed. Respondent No.2-General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Faridabad, is directed to reconsider the case of the petitioner in the light of the communication dated 31.12.2012 (Annexure R-2) received by respondent No.3 from Regional Transport

Office, Sambalpur, Odisha. The same be done expeditiously but not later than two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

August 18, 2015
Jyoti 1