

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4224 of 2012
Date of decision: 28.07.2015

Ramesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: None.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

On March 6, 2012, this court had passed the following order:

“Learned counsel states that he needs to place on record some documents and to file an additional affidavit.

Adjourned sine die. To be listed as and when the application in this regard is filed.”

Since despite lapse of considerable time, no application was moved to place on record the requisite documents and the additional affidavit, the registry again placed the matter for consideration on 03.11.2014. However, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner and the matter was adjourned. The position was not any different even on the previous date of hearing and the matter was adjourned to today.

None has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner to pursue the matter. That being so, the court is choiceless but to dismiss the petition for non-prosecution.

Ordered, accordingly.

July 28, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge