

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.2141 of 2015
Date of Decision.16.02.2015**

Hardev KaurPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. A.S. Barnala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The counsel for the petitioner states that he will be satisfied if the representation given by the petitioner under Annexure P-4 for making proper arrangement of disposal of dirty water falling on her land is considered by respondent No.4 within a particular time. The representation (Annexure P-4) shall be considered by respondent No.4 and an appropriate decision shall be taken and communicated to the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. If the petitioner is still aggrieved, she will have appropriate remedy under the Criminal Procedure Code and not through a writ petition.

2. The writ petition is disposed of with the above directions.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 16, 2015

Pankaj*