

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21407 of 2015

Date of Decision: 18.11.2015

Kamal Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Avtar Singh Bhatti, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

1. No ground for interference is made out in writ jurisdiction on the prayer for compassionate appointment following the death of the father of the petitioner in the year 2008 at the age of 55 or 56 years. It is well settled that compassionate appointment is not a source of recruitment and it is inherent in such *ex gratia* concessions that they violate the mandate of Articles 14 & 16 of the Constitution of India and prevent regular recruitment.

2. No grounds have been set out in the body of the writ petition itself to show precarious financial straits the family may have faced in 2008 and continues to reel under.

3. The argument that the financial condition of the family is not good and there is an unmarried sibling sister to marry is not a ground for issuing a mandamus to the State to provide a job to the petitioner.

4. For the foregoing reasons, there is neither merit nor substance found in this petition and it is ordered to stand dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

18.11.2015
manju