

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.21377 of 2015
Date of Decision: October 07, 2015

Ravindra Apartments Residents Welfare Society (Regd.)
....Petitioner

versus

The State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.Namit Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner-a Residents Welfare Society is against the alleged non availability of basic amenities to be provided by the builder-cum-developer from whom the residential apartments were purchased by its members.

The petitioner-Society seeks intervention of the State-authorities, namely, respondent Nos.2 to 6 in the matter and take appropriate action so that the promised basic amenities are restored to the Apartment-owners.

Since the petitioner-Society has approached none of the above-named authorities so far, its learned counsel submits that let this writ petition be treated as a representation on behalf of the petitioner-Society for its consideration by the authorities.

We thus dispose of this writ petition at this stage with a direction to respondent Nos.3 to 6 to treat this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner-Society and take necessary steps as may be required in accordance with law, after observing the principles of natural justice. Let the needful be done within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order alongwith a copy of the writ petition. Petitioner-Society is directed to deposit six copies of the paper book with the Registry for onward transmission to the respondents.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

October 07, 2015
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE