

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.02.10 10:11
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**CWP No.2134 of 2015
Date of decision:09.02.2015**

Narender Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

The Registrar General, Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh and
another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Aman Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that because of compelling family circumstances, petitioner waived off his seniority about seven years at Rewari with a view to join at Panipat. This request of the petitioner was graciously allowed and he was allowed to join at Panipat on 17.8.2013. However, the petitioner was declared surplus being the junior most at Panipat, vide communication dated 25.3.2014 (Annexure P-4). He also refers to a communication dated 25.1.2014 (Annexure P-2), whereby petitioner was asked to give his option for different stations pointed out therein. He further submits that in the month of December 2014, one post of Process-Server has fallen vacant in Panipat Sessions Division itself. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that given an

opportunity, petitioner shall approach the competent authority, i.e. respondent No.2, by moving an appropriate representation, within a period of two weeks from today and respondent No.2 may be directed to consider and decide the same, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, the District & Sessions Judge, Panipat-respondent No.2, is directed that if the petitioner approaches her by moving an appropriate representation within a period of two weeks from today, she shall consider and decide the same at an early date by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of one month from the date of receipt of representation from the petitioner.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

09.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE