

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No. 4018 of 2012. [O&M]
Date of Decision: 02nd March, 2015.

Gurdeep Kaur	Petitioner
Versus	
State of Punjab & Ors.	Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ravi Kamal Gupta, Advocate – *amicus-curiae*.
Mr. Aman Bahri, Additional AG, Punjab.
Mr. Salil Sagar, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Samarth Sagar, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 to 4.

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

Learned counsel for the parties at the out-set have pointed out the order dated 07.10.2014 whereby the learned Single Judge has referred to an issue of paramount public importance for consideration by a larger Bench. They are also *ad-idem* that the writ petition as such has become infructuous for the reason that the petitioner has deposited the charges as per the new policy for regularisation of the construction of the shops and the same has been accepted by the authorities with an understanding that henceforth the construction shall not be demolished.

We are further informed that the notification dated 21.08.2013, namely, the policy under challenge, has got the seal of approval from a Coordinate Bench vide judgment dated 10.12.2013 rendered in **Darshan Kaur & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Ors., CWP**

No. 22225 of 2013.

Since the petitioner is not a person aggrieved at the policy notified on 21.08.2013, we dispose of this writ petition as infructuous but leaving the question of law referred to by the learned Single Judge open for adjudication in appropriate proceedings.

Disposed of. Dasti.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

March 02, 2015.
dinesh

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE