

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.21217 of 2015

Date of decision: 5.10.2015

Rohi Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mrs.Riti Aggarwal, Advocate, for
Mr.Sunny Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is working as a Senior Laboratory Attendant and seeks a mandamus to the respondents to provide some promotional avenue, may be on the pattern of Clerks, and to re-designate their posts from Senior Lab Attendant to Lab Attendant etc. Reliance is placed on the observations made by this Court in Civil Writ Petition No. 2567 of 1992 decided on 03.02.2004 [Sant Ram and others v. State of Punjab and another] [P-5].

The petitioner had also sought the above stated relief vide legal Notice dated 22.4.2015 [P-8].

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, I am of the considered view that no reply is required to be filed by the respondents at

this stage. Suffice it to observe that the petitioner is merely praying for providing him promotional avenue in the light of the observations already made by this Court. The respondents can thus, depending on certain facts and circumstances, consider the above stated claim of the petitioner and dispose of the same by passing a speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

October 5, 2015

Paritosh Kumar