

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

FAO No.1138 of 2010 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.05.2015

Phool Kumar and others	Versus	Appellants
Mohammad Zuber and others		Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Mehta, Advocate for
Mr. Parveen Hans, Advocate,
for appellants.

Ms. Monica Jangra, Advocate for
Ms. Vandana Malhotra, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

None for respondent No.4.

SHEKHER DHAWAN, J (ORAL)

Despite case having been adjourned to different dates i.e. 02.04.2013, 16.08.2013, 13.01.2014 and 7.7.2014, the appellants failed to file the correct addresses of respondents No.1 and 3 and as such process qua them could not be issued.

Learned counsel for appellants made request that despite the best efforts he could not file the correct addresses of respondents No.1 and 3 and his client is not in contact with him.

In view of the above, the present appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

May 11, 2015