

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 21760 of 2014(O&M)

Date of decision : 23.03.2015

Omkar and others

..... Petitioners

VS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Girish Agnihotri, Sr.Advocate
with Mr.Saurabh Gulia, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

CM Nos. 15187-88 of 2014

CMs allowed. Annexure P-8 taken on record.

CWP No. 21760 of 2014

The precise grievance of the learned senior counsel is that the persons junior to the petitioners have been promoted without considering their claims and in this connection respondent No.3 has forwarded representations of the petitioners to the respondent No.2 and in that forwarding letter dated (Annexure P-6) dated 31.7.2014 also it is indicated that the petitioners are seniors but the respondent No.2 has not taken any decision thereon. Further states that at this stage he does not press this petition on merits and the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said letter(Annexure P-6) by passing

a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid claim of the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order thereon.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

March 23, 2015
sunita