

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.20944 of 2015

Date of Decision: October 01, 2015

Gurcharan Singh and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.Tejpgal Singh Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr.Anil Mehta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

-. -

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On our asking, Mr.Anil Mehta, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Let four copies of the writ petition be supplied to the State counsel during the course of day failing which this order shall be automatically recalled and the writ petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed for non-prosecution.

In view of the nature of order which we propose to pass, it is not necessary to seek any counter-reply from the respondents at this stage.

The grievance of the petitioners is that their names ought to have been entered in the voter list of village Jagoli, Tehsil and District Ambala instead of village Shekhupura as

they are bonafide residents of village Jagoli and not village Shekhupura.

Since the above-stated question can be answered after a fact-finding enquiry, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Deputy Commissioner-cum-District Election Officer, Ambala to ascertain the correct facts and take an appropriate decision on the petitioners' representations dated 18.06.2015 and 31.07.2015 as early as possible and before the ensuing Gram Panchayat elections.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

October 01, 2015
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE