

CWP No. 21594-2014

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 21594-2014

Date of Decision: 04.02.2015

The Punjab Furniture Cooperative Industrial Society Ltd.

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. H.S.Bedi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

Mr. I.S.Saggu, Advocate,
for respondent no.4.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has challenged the resolution dated 25.06.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Board of Directors of the Jalandhar Central Cooperative Bank Limited, Jalandhar whereby explanation clauses in the Bye-Laws 30 of the Jalandhar Central Cooperative Bank Limited, Jalandhar have been deleted.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

CWP No. 21594-2014

Learned counsel for respondent no.4-bank has categorically stated that the bank, vide resolution no.7 dated 12.02.2009, passed by the General Body of the Bank, has amended bye-law No.30. However, incorrect bye-law No.30 was inadvertently printed. Learned counsel has also stated that the bank now will publish the bye-laws as amended and registered with the State Government. In this regard, learned counsel for respondent no.4-bank has made reference to para no.10 of preliminary objections of written statement filed on behalf of respondent no.4 which reads as under:

“10. That it is relevant to mention here that since bye-law No.30 of the Bank has been misprinted as Explanations 1, 2 and 3 below the bye-law 30 have been inadvertently mentioned in the books published by the Bank and when it came to the notice of the Board of Directors of the Bank, it has been decided vide resolution No.14 dated 25.06.2014 to reprint the bye-laws to bring the same in conformity with the registered bye-laws. The bank has not amended any bye-law by passing the resolution Annexure P-1 as alleged by the petitioner.”

Copy of resolution No.7 dated 12.02.2009 is taken on record.

Copy of the same has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of above, the present writ petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

04.02.2015
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge