

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.20852 of 2015
Dated of Decision:30.09.2015**

Suresh Sood

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Samarth Sagar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This petition is filed by a Whistle Blower/RTI Activist. The only prayer made by the petitioner is that the representation dated 29.8.2015, addressed to many Government authorities, may be taken to a logical end and an appropriate legal action may be taken against respondents No.6 & 7. He has referred to Clause 3 of the Policy dated 11.1.2013 (Annexure P-9) in which it is provided that *“the Whistle Blower/RTI Activists/Complainant/Witnesses in cases who have a threat perception of danger to his/her life or liberty may approach the District Magistrate or the Commissioner of Police as the same may be. The concerned authority shall make an enquiry into the threat perception within 48 hours of the receipt of the application and if it*

is found that the threat perception is genuine, he/she shall be provided security forthwith”.

Insofar as the Clause 3 of the aforesaid Policy is concerned, it only provides police security to a Whistle Blower/RTI Activists but the petitioner has made a prayer in the representation to take legal action against the persons, who are extending threat to his life and liberty.

In view thereof, the representation dated 29.8.2015 (Annexure P-5) is totally misconceived. The petitioner may, if so advised, register a case against those persons, who are giving him threats, under the relevant provisions of IPC and if he requires any police security, in terms of clause 3 of the aforesaid policy (Annexure P-9), he has to make a separate representation.

With these observations, the present petition is hereby disposed of.

30.09.2015
Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE