

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 24014 of 2013
Decided on : 16.09.2015**

Indian Education Society
Versus
... Petitioner

State of Haryana and others
(2)
... Respondents

CWP No. 24679 of 2013

Indian Education Society
Versus
... Petitioner

State of Haryana and others
(3)
... Respondents

CWP No. 26209 of 2013

Gurudham College of Education
Versus
... Petitioner

State of Haryana and others
(4)
... Respondents

CWP No. 26463 of 2013

Indo American Educational Trust
Versus
... Petitioner

State of Haryana and others
(5)
... Respondents

CWP No. 21625 of 2014

Tagore Education Society
Versus
... Petitioner

State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Babbar Bhan, Advocate for
Mr. Narender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Keshav Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate
for respondent-NCTE.

G.S. Sandhawalia , J. (Oral)

The present order shall dispose of five writ petitions i.e. CWP Nos. 24014, 24679, 26209 & 26463 of 2013 and 21625 of 2014. The facts are being taken from CWP No. 24014 of 2013.

The challenge is for setting aside the order dated 03.10.2013 (Annexure P-7), whereby the petitioner has not been given recognition by the NCTE for the diploma of elementary education for the session 2013-14 on account of the fact that the State Government has taken a policy decision not to allow to setting up of new institutions. The application was returned in original to the petitioner. The letter dated 20.03.2013 (Annexure P-4) issued by the NCTE takes into consideration the State Governments recommendations regarding not opening of the said institutes in the private sector.

The decision of the Government dated 26.04.2013 (Annexure P-6), wherein it was noticed that the State does not

propose to add any capacity in the year 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 is also subject matter of challenge.

The interim relief for the additional intake of 50 seats was also prayed for, but never granted.

In the written statement the respondent-NCTE has also taken the plea that the petitioner-institute is free to apply afresh in accordance with law for subsequent session.

It is apparent that the relief sought was for the said academic years 2013-2014 and the present petitions have been rendered infructuous by sheer efflux of time, specially on account of the fact that no interim orders were passed in favour of the petitioners.

Disposed of as such.

SEPTEMBER 16, 2015
Naveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE