

Even otherwise, it is the uncontroverted position, as no replication has been filed to the written statement filed on behalf of the State that the service rendered by the petitioner between 05.02.1981 and 22.03.1984 was under a Project known as Drought Prone Area Programme run by the District Rural Development Agency (DRDA), on a purely temporary basis for a period of six months at a time and on the completion of the Project, he was retrenched on 22.03.1984.

The service of the petitioner for the period in question being under a Project being run by the DRDA and not being under the Government of Haryana or any of its instrumentalities, cannot be allowed to be counted towards pension.

Consequently, on the ground of delay, as also on merits, the petition deserves to be dismissed.

Ordered accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

November 27, 2015
monika