

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 21405 of 2014 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION : 25.08.02015

Ramesh Kumar

.... PETITIONERS

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN

Present : Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rishi Kaushal, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

Mr. R.S. Rai, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Munish Jain and Mr. Deepinder Brar, Advocates,
for respondent No.5.

Mr. D.S. Sobti, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

* * *

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition seeking direction to respondent No.1 to repair the G.T Road from Mehna to Talwandi Bhai, because hundreds of people have been killed in this small stretch of road in many accidents.

After notice, National Highway Authority of India – respondent

No.4 filed written statement in this case, wherein it was stated that the work of repairing G.T Road to the extent of 78 Kms. has been given to M/s Gawar Construction Ltd. - respondent No.6.

Written statement on behalf of respondent No.5 has been filed in court today. The same is taken on record and its copy has been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for respondent No.6 states that respondent No.6 has already repaired the road from Mehna to Talwandi Bhai, the repair of which was assigned to it.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.6, the prayer made in this petition has become infructuous. If wrong statement has been made by counsel for respondent No.6, it will be open for the petitioner to file a contempt petition.

Dismissed as infructuous.

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

August 25, 2015
ndj

(MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN)
JUDGE