

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21194-2014

Date of Decision: 26.11.2015

Sher Singh Gagowal

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. C. M. Munjal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S.Chandumajra, Addl. A. G. Punjab.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that one personal security officer (P.S.O.) has been provided to the petitioner and instant petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

If the petitioner still feels that more security is required to him, he will be at liberty to move the authorities concerned in accordance with law.

26.11.2015
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge