

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 21171 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION : 21.01.2015

Ranbir Singh and others

.... PETITIONERS

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. N.P.S. Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, DAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Harit Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Mansur Ali and Mr. H.S. Deol, Advocates,
for respondent No.5.

* * *

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioners No.1 to 4 claim themselves to be 1984 riot victims, having been issued Red Cards by the State of Punjab. The petitioners have filed this petition seeking direction to the respondents to allot flats to petitioners No.1 to 4 and other similarly situated victims as per the policy of allotment of houses/booths to the Sikh riot affected families, as applicable in their case.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that when the

Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA) – respondent No.4 issued an advertisement for allotment of flats to the 1984 riot victims under the policy of the State Government, petitioners No.1 to 4 also applied for allotment of flats. Petitioner No.1 was not found eligible for allotment of flat. However, names of petitioners No.2, 3 and 4 were put in the draw of lots for allotment of flats to the 1984 riot victims but unfortunately, they could not succeed in the said draw of lots.

In view of the aforesaid fact that petitioner No.1 was not found eligible for allotment of flat, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he does not want to press this petition on behalf of petitioner No.1.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, we dispose of this petition with liberty to petitioners No.2 to 4 to submit a representation to GLADA – respondent No.4 for the relief sought in this petition. If any such representation is submitted by petitioners No.2 to 4, GLADA – respondent No.4 is directed to consider their claim by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months.

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

January 21, 2015
ndj

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE