

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.20453 of 2015
Date of Decision: September 24, 2015

Mohd.Hanif KhanPetitioner

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.Satish Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr.Anil Mehta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

-. -

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On our asking, Mr.Anil Mehta, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf the respondents.

Let five copies of the writ petition be supplied to the State counsel during the course of day failing which this order shall be automatically recalled and the writ petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed for non-prosecution.

In view of the nature of order which we propose to pass, it is not necessary to seek any counter-reply from the respondents at this stage.

The petitioner alleges that several bogus voters have been entered in the voter list of village Kotla, tehsil Nuh, District, Mewat, for the purpose of ensuing Gram Panchayat

elections. He claims that all these voters belong to the State of Rajasthan but their names have been purposefully entered in the voter list of village Kotla so as to highjack the election in a particular direction. Some of the instances are also quoted.

Since the petitioner's allegations would require a fact-finding enquiry, we do not express any views on merits rather dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent Nos.2 to 5 to ascertain the correct facts and take remedial action as may be required in law, before the Gram Panchayat elections.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

September 24, 2015
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE