

**CWP-23637-2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : 17.07.2015****VINOD KUMAR SHARMA****..... PETITIONER****VS****STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS****..... RESPONDENTS****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI*********

Present: Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms.Shruti Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has claimed salary and consequential benefits for the period he worked on current duty charge on a higher post for almost 9 years.

He has placed reliance on judgment of this Court passed in CWP No.4864 of 2011 decided on 20.11.2013, Hans Raj and others Vs. State of Haryana & another (Annexure P-9).

Learned AAG is not in a position to cite any contrary judgment. In the circumstances the petition is allowed in the same terms as in CWP No.4864 of 2011 decided on 20.11.2013, Hans Raj and others Vs. State of Haryana & another.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE****July 17, 2015***Pooja Sharma-I/sunita*