

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation dated 28.01.2015 (Annexure P-14) in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

23.09.2015
Atul

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20369 of 2015
Date of decision: 23.09.2015

Rekha

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The petitioner is working in the respondent-Department. During the year 2013, when she was posted as SA-RMS, Amritsar, she filed a complaint against respondent No.3 for sexual and mental harassment at the workplace. To enquire into the complaint, a committee was constituted by the CPMG, Punjab Circle Chandigarh, which after enquiring into the matter submitted its report dated 26.02.2015 concluding that the charges levelled against respondent No.3 have been proved without any iota of doubt. Thereafter, the enquiry was sent for necessary action to respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner despite the passage of long period thereafter, no action has been taken by the respondent No.2 on the enquiry report submitted by the committee.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take action against respondent No.3 on the basis of the enquiry report.