

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20974 of 2014
Date of decision: 21.04.2015

Rajinder Kumar
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rakesh Verma, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

The precise stand set out in paragraph 4 of the written statement filed by the State reads as thus:

“4. That in compliance with the orders passed by this Hon’ble High Court on 10.02.2015, the status of different pensionary benefits of the petition is as follows:-

Sr. No.	Pensionary Benefit	Status
1	G.P. Fund	As per order dated IT Account-2/G.P.fund/Tech-923/637-640 dated 27.02.2015, requisite sanction has already been released.
2	Leave Encashment	Concerned office of Principal, Industrial Training Institute, Batala has already sent online bill of due amount of Rs.5,27,000/- to the concerned treasury on

		27.02.2015.
3	G.I.S.	Concerned office of Principal, Industrial Training Institute, Batala has already sent online bill of due amount of Rs.40,755/- to the concerned treasury on 27.02.2015.
4	Pension and Gratuity	Concerned office of Principal, Industrial Training Institute, Batala, has already sent the case to Accountant General, Punjab, Chandigarh, on 27.02.2015.

In the light of above, it is therefore prays that as the orders of this Hon'ble High Court have been complied with and the relief claimed by the petitioner in the present petition has already been granted to him. The present writ petition may kindly be dismissed with cost in the interest of justice, equity and fair play."

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter in hand has become infructuous except that the petitioner has not been afforded increments since 2011.

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that let this petition be dismissed as having become infructuous with a liberty to the petitioner to represent to the respondents as regards the increments as indicated above.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

April 21, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

