

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at
Chandigarh.

CWP No.20238 of 2015

Date of Decision: 22.9.2015

Ranbir Singh

Petitioner

Vs.

Punjab State Warehousing Corporation and others

Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL.

Present: - Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

LISA GILL,J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had retired on 31.7.2007. Punishment was awarded to him vide order dated 20.1.2014 in proceedings which were initiated in January 1999 and a penalty of ₹97,724.18 was imposed upon the petitioner. Appeal against order dated 20.1.2014 was preferred by the petitioner on 19.2.2014. Despite numerous requests and specific representation dated 17.12.2014 (Annexure P6), no decision has been taken by the respondent-Corporation on this appeal. Therefore, learned counsel prays for the directions to the respondents to decide the appeal preferred by the

petitioner, expeditiously. It is submitted that in certain other pending appeals filed by the petitioner, this court has directed the same to be decided in a time-bound manner (Annexure P-8). Appeal as per Punjab State Warehousing Corporation Staff (Conditions of Service Group A and Group B Service) Regulations, 2002 is submitted to lie before the Executive Committee. Appeal, Annexure P-5, though addressed to the Chairman of the Corporation be treated to be an appeal before the competent authority.

Keeping in view the limited prayer made by the petitioner and peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority (appeal though addressed to Chairman be treated to be an appeal before competent authority) to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner by passing an appropriate order within a period of four months from receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

September 22, 2015.
Anoop