

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.216

CWP No.23399 of 2013

Date of decision: 13.10.2015

Umed Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Ajay Shekhawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking commuted pension.

Today, learned counsel for the State of Haryana has submitted that the petitioner through a representation has himself submitted that he does not opt for commuted pension, rendering the present petition infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the above position.

In view of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

October 13, 2015

Jyoti 1