

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20796 of 2014
Date of decision: 02.03.2015

Tilak Raj and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, directing the respondents to grant J.S.T. grade of ₹ 110-250, as revised from time to time, from the date the petitioners acquired a higher qualification, in terms of the decision of this court rendered in CWP-3931-1992 titled Baldev Raj Mittal and others v. State of Punjab and others, decided on 15.04.2009. Petitioners claim to be identically placed. It is maintained that prior to the institution of this petition, petitioners had represented to respondent No.3 i.e. The Director, Publication Instructions (E.E.), Punjab, and even served a notice dated 17.05.2013 (Annexure P13), but the same failed to evoke any response.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, at this stage, petitioners shall be satisfied if respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide their claim within a stipulated period of time.

That being so, and without examining the matter on merits, petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, as set out in the notice dated 17.05.2013 (Annexure P13), in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

March 2, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge