

**IN THE COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

-:-

C.W.P No. 20063 of 2015

Date of Decision: 15.12.2015.

Arvind Kumar & Ors.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL.

Present:- Mr. Balraj Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioners have been re-engaged and will not be replaced by other set of lecturers, subject to the petitioners being qualifying for the respective posts in question, their good work and conduct and existence of work.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by the learned State counsel, the present petition with regard to termination/relieving of the petitioners has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

So far as the prayer of the petitioners with regard to grant of minimum pay-scales as prescribed by the University Grants Commission and salary for the vacations is concerned, the petitioners are granted liberty to make a representation in this regard to the competent authority, which would consider and decide the same expeditiously by passing a speaking order.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

December 15, 2015

tripti