

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 19994 of 2015
DATE OF DECISION :- September 23, 2015.**

Inderjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JEYAPPAUL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.S. Bal, Senior Advocate
with Mr. A.D.S. Bal, Advocate for the petitioner.

M.JEYAPPAUL, J.

Learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that no applicant who applied along with the petitioner was considered for appointment on deputation basis. The 1st respondent U.T., Chandigarh has appointed candidates who applied for post of tutor on direct recruitment basis.

The petitioner has not ventilated his grievance in the present proceedings as regards the rejection of his candidature for appointment on direct recruitment basis.

In view thereof, the Writ Petition stands dismissed as not pressed giving liberty to the petitioner to file fresh original application, if so advised, challenging the recruitment made to fill up the post of tutor on direct recruitment basis by the 1st respondent.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

**(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 23, 2015

p.singh