

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

-.-

FAO M 386 of 2009 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.12.2015

Harpinder Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

Harpreet Kaur

.....Respondent

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajive Bhalla
 Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal**

-.-

Present: Mr. Suraypreet Singh, Advocate for
 Mr. S S Sidhu, Advocate
 for the appellant

Mr. Aakash Juneja, Advocate
for the respondent

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rajive Bhalla, J.

Counsel for the appellant states that the appeal has been rendered infructuous as parties have already been granted divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

Counsel for the respondent pleads no instructions.

In view of the statement made by counsel for the appellant, the appeal is dismissed as infructuous.

(Rajive Bhalla)
Judge

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

23.12.2015
Mohan Bimbura