

516

CWP NO.2067 OF 2014

RAM RATTAN & ANR V/S STATE OF PUNJAB ETC

Present: Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Ashok Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ambika Sood, DAG Punjab for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the controversy in this writ petition is squarely covered by a decision of the High Court Court in CWP No.4109 of 2010 titled as Kamlesh Rani & anr. Vs. Commissioner, Faridkot Division, Faridkot & ors. decided by Hon'ble Mr. Justice K. Kannan vide order dated July 11, 2011.

Ms. Ambika Sood, DAG Punjab concedes that the controversy discussed is covered by the aforesaid judgment of Hon'ble Mr. Justice K. Kannan and the same be disposed of in the same terms as in the aforesaid writ petition.

In view of the stand taken by the learned counsel for the parties, this writ petition stands disposed of in the same terms as in CWP No.4109 of 2010 decided on July 11, 2011. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that he has deposited some amount in terms of directions of the Hon'ble Court, petitioner may apply for its refund, if so advised.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

**(G.C. GARG)
PRESIDENT**

**(N.K. KAPOOR)
MEMBER**

October 05, 2015

naina