

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.19906 of 2015
Date of Decision: September 18, 2015

Surinder Kumar and anotherPetitioners

versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH.

Present: Mr.Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Alok Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

-.-

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioners claim that in the penultimate paragraph of the order dated 28.07.2015 passed by learned Additional District Judge, Ludhiana, the finding re: “on Southern side one foot ahead of western corner.....” is in contradiction with the finding returned immediate before that in the paragraph starting with expression “Even the Google Ariel view.....”

The alleged contradiction, if any, can be appreciated by the learned Additional District Judge only and cannot be the subject matter of judicial review in exercise of writ jurisdiction.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks and is permitted to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to move an appropriate application before the learned Additional District Judge.

We are quite sure that there is no immediate threat of demolition to the petitioners' house for a week or so within which their application can be decided.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

September 18, 2015
mohinder

[JASPAL SINGH]
JUDGE