

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

242

**Civil Writ Petition No.20587 of 2014
Date of decision: 18.05.2015**

Ram Wanti

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Aneja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Supriya Garg, Advocate for respondent No.4.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 submits that the answering respondent is ready to release the amount but the same has not been reimbursed due to financial deficit.

In view of submission made by learned counsel for respondent No.4, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to release the necessary payment within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Learned State counsel undertakes to do the needful, in case, the payment is delayed.

Learned State counsel is also directed to reimburse the amount as per law.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

May 18, 2015
sonia g.